

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,150	10/03/2001	Barry Bratcher	N-7815	9607	
34309 75	90 01/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LARGESCALE BIOLOGY CORPORATION			MARSCHEL	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
BANK OF AM 414 UNION ST	ERICA PLAZA, SUITE 20)20	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NASHVILLE,			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			2
	Application No.	Applicant(s))
· <u>-</u>	09/970,150	BRATCHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ardin Marschel	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute. SIX (6) Month atute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 October 2003.		:
,	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	ntters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-93 is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received in this National Stage	,
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in th 37 CFR 1.78.	nestic priority under 35 U.S.0 e first sentence of the specif	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ication or in an Application Data Shee	n) et.
 a)	nestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)) 1) 1 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

RESTRICTION/ELECTION

Applicant's election of Group I, Specie B, (claims 1-39) in the Paper filed 10/31/03 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

CONTINUATION DATA

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. ______" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application. It is noted that a blank is present in the second line of this paragraph.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the

Art Unit: 1631

application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, means for homogenizing bio-matter is set forth. Then confusingly the juice is adjusted via means in line 3. The apparatus cited in the claims is lacking a means for separating the juice from the bio-matter homogenate for the pH adjustment. Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested as to this apparently missing and required means citation. Claim 27 also contains this unclarity due to homogenizing and adjusting the pH of the juice without citing a juice separation means. Claims which depend from claims 1 or 27 directly or indirectly also contain this unclarity due to their dependence.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1-3, 8, 13, 25-29, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osawa et al. (P/N 5,993,795), taken in view of Skerik (P/N 4,907,167).

Osawa et al. describes the preparation of a juice material from sesame seeds in column 4, line 66, through column 5, line 54. The seeds are homogenized by grinding into bio-matter and mixed with solvent in column 4, line 66, through column 5, line 7. Squeezing is also utilized as required in instant claim 8. It is noted that homogenizing is defined in the enclosed New Riverside University Dictionary via definition 2 as "to reduce to particles and disperse throughout a fluid" which is reasonably disclosed in the above citations in Osawa et al. In Osawa et al. in column 5, lines 32-50, the juice is pH adjusted and heat treated as required via means for this purpose in instant claim 1, lines 3-5. Then in column 5, lines 51-53, centrifugal separation of a pellet from supernatant is performed via means for this purpose as in lines 6-7 of instant claim 1. Filtering is optionally utilized as described in Osawa et al. in column 5, lines 51-53, as cited also in instant claim 29. Osawa et al., however, does not disclose automation via computer control of the above processing apparatus.

Skeirik is directed to describing the computer automation of manufacturing systems as summarized in the abstract. Skeirik motivates the usage of the system computer controlling for manufacturing processes in the paragraph which bridges columns 2 and 3 for a variety of reasons including reduced cost, improved quality, etc. Thus, the control system means in Skeirik are deemed reasonably to be suggested for any manufacturing process including the above reference. Columns 10-28 of Skeirik

Art Unit: 1631

summarize a wide variety of controllable manufacturing processes including specific systems as summarized in column 13, line 45, through column 14, line 68. The process is monitored and controlled along with the development of a historical database of measurements for any manufacturing process as described in column 24, line 40, through column 25, line 30. Specifically report generation is cited in column 25, lines 21-23, as required in instant claim 2.

Thus, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to be motivated by Skeirik to automate and computer control any manufacturing process of which the process of Osawa et al. is performed via apparatus means for manufacturing processed green juice materials as the elected invention which therefore results in the practice of the instant invention.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

January 9, 2004

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER